

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CAROL J. STRONG,

Plaintiff,

v.

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS
CORPORATION, MERCK & CO., INC.,
PROCTER & GAMBLE
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., and AVENTIS
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: 1:08-cv-04100

**ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF
DEFENDANT NOVARTIS
PHARMACEUTICALS
CORPORATION TO PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT**

JURY DEMAND

Defendant Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation (“NPC”), by and through its counsel, responds to plaintiff’s Complaint and Jury Demand (“the Complaint”) as follows:

1. Paragraph 1 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same. NPC specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].
2. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
3. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine what time periods plaintiff asserts are “at all times herein mentioned” and therefore NPC is unable to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that it is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware with its principal offices located in East Hanover, New Jersey.

4. Paragraph 4 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

5. Paragraph 5 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

6. Paragraph 6 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

7. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine what time periods plaintiff asserts are “at all times herein mentioned” and therefore NPC is unable to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint relate to NPC, NPC admits that it does business in the States of New York and Montana. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint relate to parties other than NPC, no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. The allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. Further, Paragraph 8 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response

is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

9. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine what time periods plaintiff asserts in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint and therefore is unable to respond to the allegations asserted therein. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that it labels, markets, tests, distributes, and sells Zometa[®] and that it labels, distributes, and sells Aredia[®]. NPC admits that in the past it did market and test Aredia[®]. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

10. In response to Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, NPC denies that Zometa[®] is a brand name under which NPC sells “zolodrenic” acid. NPC affirmatively avers that Zometa[®] is a brand name under which NPC sells zoledronic acid. NPC admits that Aredia[®] is a brand name under which NPC sells pamidronate disodium. NPC admits that Aredia[®] and Zometa[®] are bisphosphonic acids. NPC admits that Aredia[®] and Zometa[®] are given intravenously to cancer patients. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

11. In response to the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, NPC admits that Aredia[®] and Zometa[®] are approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) for the indications listed on each product’s label. NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint to the extent that they mischaracterize or misstate information contained on the labels for Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®]. NPC denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine the meaning plaintiff ascribes to the phrase “product literature” and what time periods plaintiff asserts in Paragraph 12

of the Complaint and therefore, NPC is unable to respond. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

13. NPC admits that it received reports in 2002 that some patients treated with Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] presented with osteonecrosis of the jaw. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

14. NPC admits that in 2004, Dr. Ruggiero and others published an article entitled “Osteonecrosis of the Jaws Associated with the Use of Bisphosphonates: A Review of 63 cases,” in the Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. NPC admits that the quoted language appears in this article, but avers that the article speaks for itself. NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint to the extent that they mischaracterize or misstate information contained in said article. NPC denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

15. The allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that it sent correspondence to medical professionals in September 2004 and May 2005 addressing the subject of osteonecrosis of the jaw. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

17. The allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was given and/or injected with Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] and whether plaintiff has osteonecrosis of the jaw and therefore denies the same.

NPC denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].

18. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was given and/or injected with Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] and whether plaintiff suffered any injury and therefore NPC is unable to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint and denies the same. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint and its subparts (a) through (g) constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®]. NPC also specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint and its subparts (a) through (g).

19. Paragraph 19 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

20. Paragraph 20 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

21. Paragraph 21 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

22. Paragraph 22 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

23. Paragraph 23 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

24. Paragraph 24 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

25. Paragraph 25 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

26. Paragraph 26 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

27. Paragraph 27 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

28. Paragraph 28 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

29. Paragraph 29 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

30. Paragraph 30 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

31. Paragraph 31 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

32. Paragraph 32 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

33. Paragraph 33 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

34. Paragraph 34 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

35. Paragraph 35 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

36. Paragraph 36 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

37. In response to Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, NPC incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

38. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine what time periods plaintiff asserts in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint and therefore is unable to respond to the allegations asserted therein. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that it labels, markets, tests, distributes, and sells Zometa[®] and that it labels, distributes, and sells Aredia[®].

NPC admits that in the past it did market and test Aredia[®]. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint.

39. The allegations in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] are “defective” and/or “unreasonably dangerous.”

40. The allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] are “defective.”

41. The allegations in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] are “defective.”

42. The allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] are “defective.”

43. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was injured and therefore NPC denies the same. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint. NPC

specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].

44. Paragraph 44 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

45. Paragraph 45 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

46. Paragraph 46 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

47. Paragraph 47 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

48. Paragraph 48 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

49. Paragraph 49 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 49 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

50. Paragraph 50 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

51. Paragraph 51 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 51 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

52. Paragraph 52 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

53. Paragraph 53 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

54. Paragraph 54 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 54 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

55. Paragraph 55 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 55 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

56. In response to Paragraph 56 of the Complaint, NPC incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

57. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine what time periods plaintiff asserts in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint and therefore, NPC is unable to respond to the allegations contained therein and denies the same. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that it labels, markets, tests, distributes, and sells Zometa[®] and that it labels, distributes, and sells Aredia[®]. NPC admits that in the past it did test and market Aredia[®]. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint.

58. The allegations in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint.

59. The allegations in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint.

60. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was injured and therefore denies the same. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a

response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].

61. Paragraph 61 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 61 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

62. Paragraph 62 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 62 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

63. Paragraph 63 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 63 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

64. Paragraph 64 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 64 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

65. Paragraph 65 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 65 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

66. Paragraph 66 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 66 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

67. Paragraph 67 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 67 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

68. Paragraph 68 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 68 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

69. In response to Paragraph 69 of the Complaint, NPC incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

70. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine what time periods plaintiff asserts in Paragraph 70 of the Complaint and therefore NPC is unable to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 70 of the Complaint. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that it labels, markets, tests, distributes, and sells Zometa[®] and that it labels, distributes and sells Aredia[®]. NPC admits that in the past it did market and test Aredia[®]. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 70 of the Complaint.

71. The allegations in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC admits that applicable law imposes certain duties upon manufacturers and distributors of products, but

denies that Paragraph 71 of the Complaint accurately sets forth those duties. NPC denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint.

72. The allegations in Paragraph 72 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 72 of the Complaint.

73. The allegations in Paragraph 73 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 73 of the Complaint.

74. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was injured and therefore denies the same. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 74 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 74 of the Complaint.

75. Paragraph 75 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 75 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

76. Paragraph 76 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 76 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

77. Paragraph 77 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 77 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

78. Paragraph 78 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 78 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

79. Paragraph 79 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 79 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

80. Paragraph 80 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 80 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

81. Paragraph 81 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 81 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

82. Paragraph 82 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 82 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

83. Paragraph 83 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 83 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

84. In response to Paragraph 84 of the Complaint, NPC incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

85. The allegations in Paragraph 85 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 85 of the Complaint.

86. The allegations in Paragraph 86 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 86 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

87. The allegations in Paragraph 87 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 87 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].

88. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was injured and therefore denies the same. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 88 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 88 of the Complaint. NPC specifically denies that any injuries alleged in the Complaint were caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].

89. Paragraph 89 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 89 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

90. Paragraph 90 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 90 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

91. Paragraph 91 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 91 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

92. Paragraph 92 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 92 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

93. Paragraph 93 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 93 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

94. Paragraph 94 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 94 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

95. Paragraph 95 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 95 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

96. Paragraph 96 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 96 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

97. In response to Paragraph 97 of the Complaint, NPC incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint.

98. The allegations in Paragraph 98 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 98 of the Complaint.

99. The allegations in Paragraph 99 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 99 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

100. The allegations in Paragraph 100 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 100 of the Complaint.

101. NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to determine whether plaintiff was injured and therefore denies the same. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 101 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies the allegations in Paragraph 101 of the Complaint.

102. Paragraph 102 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 102 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

103. Paragraph 103 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 103 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

104. Paragraph 104 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 104 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

105. Paragraph 105 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 105 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

106. Paragraph 106 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks

sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 106 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

107. Paragraph 107 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 107 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

108. Paragraph 108 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 108 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

109. Paragraph 109 of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 109 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.

110. With respect to the allegations in the unnumbered paragraph following Paragraph 109 of the Complaint and its subparts (a) through (c), captioned "PRAYER FOR RELIEF", and beginning with the word "WHEREFORE," these allegations constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. Further, this unnumbered Paragraph of the Complaint contains allegations regarding parties other than NPC to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, NPC denies that plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought therein, including any judgment for any damages, interest, costs or any other relief whatsoever.

111. Every allegation in the Complaint that is not specifically and expressly admitted in this Answer is hereby specifically and expressly denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. The Complaint, in whole or part, fails to state a claim or cause of action against NPC upon which relief can be granted.

2. All claims against defendants other than Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation are improperly joined or fraudulently joined in this action.

3. Venue is inconvenient to the parties in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and this matter should be transferred to an appropriate jurisdiction.

4. The doctrines contained in Restatement (Second) of Torts § 402A, Comment K, bar plaintiff's claims against NPC in whole or in part.

5. The doctrine(s) contained in Restatement (Third) of Torts, Product Liability §§ 4 and 6, bar plaintiff's claims against NPC in whole or in part.

6. Applicable statutes of limitations or repose bar plaintiff's claims in whole or in part.

7. Plaintiff's misuse or abnormal use of the products or failure to follow instructions bar the plaintiff's claims in whole or in part.

8. The alleged injuries to plaintiff were proximately caused by the misuse, abuse, alteration, and/or failure to properly utilize, maintain, or care for the products by persons other than NPC.

9. If plaintiff used a product sold by NPC, then plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because plaintiff assumed the risks disclosed by the product labeling, by the prescribing physicians, or by other persons or entities.

10. Any alleged negligent or culpable conduct of NPC, none being admitted, was so insubstantial as to be insufficient to be a proximate or substantial contributing cause of plaintiff's alleged injuries.

11. If plaintiff used a product sold by NPC, plaintiff used the product for "off-label" purposes, which bars the plaintiff's claims.

12. The "learned intermediary" doctrine bars plaintiff's claims.

13. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the products at issue were designed, manufactured, marketed and labeled with proper warnings, information, cautions and instructions, in accordance with the state of the art and the state of scientific and technological knowledge.

14. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the labels and information accompanying the products at issue were approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or other appropriate regulatory agencies.

15. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by applicable products liability statutes or other law providing absolute or limited immunity or a disputable presumption of immunity against liability for pharmaceutical products approved by the FDA. *See, e.g.*, N.J.S.A. § 2A:58C-5; N.J.S.A. § 2A:58C-4.

16. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the products at issue were not defective or unreasonably dangerous in that they complied with, at all relevant times, all applicable government safety standards.

17. Plaintiff's claims are preempted, in whole or in part, by applicable federal law relating to the design, testing, producing, manufacturing, labeling, distributing, modeling, processing, and supply of Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®].

18. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because plaintiff's injuries, if any, were the result of conduct of plaintiff, independent third parties, and/or events that were extraordinary under the circumstances, not foreseeable in the normal course of events, and/or independent, intervening and superseding causes of the alleged injuries, including but not limited to plaintiff's pre-existing medical conditions.

19. If plaintiff suffered injury or damages as alleged, which is denied, such injury or damage resulted from acts or omissions of persons or entities for which NPC is neither liable nor responsible or resulted from diseases and/or causes that are not related to or connected with any product sold, distributed, or manufactured by NPC. Such acts or omissions on the part of others or diseases or causes constitute an independent, intervening and sole proximate cause of plaintiff's alleged injury or damages.

20. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because plaintiff's alleged injuries, if caused by Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®], which is denied, were the result of plaintiff's own idiosyncratic reactions.

21. Plaintiff failed to mitigate, which limits plaintiff's damages, if any, in whole or in part.

22. Aredia[®] and/or Zometa[®] were fit and proper for their intended purposes and the social utility of the drugs outweighed any possible risk inherent in the use of the products.

23. NPC has no legal relationship or privity with plaintiff and owes no duty to plaintiff by which liability could be attributed to it.

24. The claims of plaintiff should be diminished in whole or in part in the amount paid to plaintiff by any party or non-party with whom plaintiff has settled or may settle.

25. Plaintiff's claims are barred, reduced and/or limited pursuant to applicable statutory and common law regarding limitations of awards, caps on recovery, and setoffs.

26. NPC made no warranties of any kind, express or implied, or any representations of any nature whatsoever to plaintiff. If any such warranties were made, whether express or implied, which NPC specifically denies, then plaintiff did not rely on any such representations or warranties and/or failed to give notice of any breach thereof.

27. Notwithstanding the claims and contentions of plaintiff, plaintiff received all or substantially all of the benefit from the products that plaintiff hoped and intended to receive, and, to that extent, any damages and/or restitution that plaintiff might be entitled to recover from NPC must be correspondingly reduced.

28. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred in whole or in part by plaintiff's own contributory/comparative negligence.

29. Plaintiff's recovery, if any, shall be reduced by those payments that plaintiff receives from collateral sources.

30. If plaintiff has been injured or damaged, no injury or damages being admitted, such injuries were not caused by an NPC product.

31. Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent that plaintiff seeks relief under laws of states that do not govern plaintiff's claims.

32. Any liability that might otherwise be imposed upon NPC is subject to reduction by the application of the doctrine of comparative fault and/or comparative negligence and/or contributory negligence.

33. NPC is not jointly and severally liable for any damages recoverable to the extent that such damages result from the conduct of parties other than NPC, no fault, damages, or other admissions being made.

34. To the extent that New Jersey law applies, plaintiff's claims are subsumed and/or barred by the New Jersey Products Liability Act, in whole or in part. *See In re Lead Paint Litigation*, 191 N.J. 405, 436-37, 924 A.2d 484, 503-04 (2007); *Brown ex rel. Brown v. Philip Morris, Inc.*, 228 F. Supp. 2d 506, 515-18 (D.N.J. 2002).

35. NPC hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon such other defenses as may become available or apparent during the course of discovery and thus reserves its right to amend this Answer to assert such defenses.

WHEREFORE, Defendant NPC demands judgment in its favor and against plaintiff, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice, together with the costs of suit and such other relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation demands a jury trial as to all issues so triable.

Dated: May 27, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Diane E. Lifton

Diane E. Lifton (DL-9673)

DLifton@gibbonslaw.com

Ethan D. Stein (ES-7130)

EStein@gibbonslaw.com

GIBBONS P.C.

One Pennsylvania Plaza, 37th Floor

New York, NY 10119-3701

Phone: 212-613-2000

Fax: 212-333-5980

*Counsel for Defendant Novartis
Pharmaceuticals Corporation*

Of counsel:

Joe G. Hollingsworth

Katharine R. Latimer

Robert E. Johnston

SPRIGGS & HOLLINGSWORTH

1350 I Street, NW, Ninth Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

Phone: (202) 898-5800

Fax: (202) 682-1639

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 27, 2008, the Answer and Defenses of Defendant Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation to Plaintiff's Complaint was filed with the Clerk of the Court and served in accordance with the Southern District's Rules on Electronic Service upon the following parties and participants:

Daniel A. Osborn, Esq.
Russel H. Beatie, Esq.
Philip J. Miller, Esq.
Beatie and Osborn LLP
521 Fifth Avenue, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10175

I further certify that on May 27, 2008, I served a true and correct copy of the Answer and Defenses of Defendant Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation to Plaintiff's Complaint, by United States Mail, postage prepaid, on:

The Powell Law Firm, L.C.
269 South Beverly Drive
Suite 1156
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

The Offices of Jeffrey C. Bogert
501 Colorado Boulevard
Suite 208
Santa Monica, CA 90401

s/ Diane E. Lifton
Diane E. Lifton